

87

Notice of Allowability

Application No.

09/782,406

Examiner

Herng-der Day

Applicant(s)

KELLER ET AL.

Art Unit

2128

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to Amendments received 6/27/05 and 9/29/05.
2. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 1, 6-10, 13-15, and 18-20, now renumbered as 1-12.
3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: _____.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.

THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

4. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
5. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
 - (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
 - 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date _____.
 - (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date _____.Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
6. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 6. <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413),
Paper No./Mail Date _____. |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08),
Paper No./Mail Date _____ | 7. <input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Amendment/Comment |
| 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit
of Biological Material | 8. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance |
| | 9. <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____. |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to Applicants' Amendment to Office Action dated April 25, 2005, mailed June 27, 2005, and Applicants' Amendment dated September 30, 2005.

1-1. Claims 1, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 15, and 18 have been amended. Claims 2-5, 11, 12, 16, and 17 have been cancelled. Claims 1, 6-10, 13-15, and 18-20 are pending.

1-2. Claims 1, 6-10, 13-15, and 18-20 have been examined and allowed.

Reasons for Allowance

2. The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

2-1. The closest prior art of record discloses:

(1) A system for providing consistency control (Moerkotte et al., "Reactive Consistency Control in Deductive Databases").

(2) An expert system utilizes the weighted descriptors to select solutions from a database of possible solutions (Rosenberg et al., U.S. Patent 5,499,366);

(3) An E-CAD tool uses information stored in a configuration file to identify violations of specifications and alert the user of particular problems (Applicants' admission, Background of specification, line 26 of page 1 through line 21 of page 2).

2-2. Applicants are disclosing computer-implemented method, systems, and tangible medium for analyzing a circuit design. The method steps or instructions include configuring an E-CAD tool to the circuit design using a configuration file, running the E-CAD tool on the circuit design, detecting violations of a specification using the E-CAD tool, storing the violations to a violations

Art Unit: 2128

file, reading the violations file, identifying symptoms of the violations, identifying solutions to the violations based on the symptoms using data in a solutions database, proposing a proposed solution, receiving a selected solution, as well as re-configuring and re-running the E-CAD tool or editing the configuration file of the E-CAD tool. These features are generally disclosed in the prior art of record. However, the prior art of record, while generally disclosing these features, does not meet the conditions as suggested in MPEP section 2131, namely:

“The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the ... claim.” *Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.*, 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, 9 USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989). The elements must be arranged as required by the claim, but this is not an ipsissimis verbis test, i.e., identity of terminology is not required. In *re Bond*, 910 F.2d 831, 15 USPQ2d 1566 (Fed. Cir. 1990).”

In this application, the prior art of record does not disclose the specific sequence of steps or arrangement of instructions in the circuit design art as shown in Fig. 2 and disclosed within the context of independent claims 1, 10, 13, 15, and 18. Therefore, Independent claims 1, 10, 13, 15, and 18 have been allowed over the prior art of record.

Dependent claims 6-9, 14, and 19-20 are allowable as they depend on the allowed independent claims 1, 13, and 18 respectively.

3. Any comments considered necessary by Applicants must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled “Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance.”

Art Unit: 2128

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Herng-der Day whose telephone number is (571) 272-3777. The Examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 - 17:30.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist: (571) 272-2100.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Jean R. Homere can be reached on (571) 272-3780. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Herng-der Day
September 30, 2005

HD.


JEAN R. HOMERE
PRIMARY EXAMINER